

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,139	03/26/2004	Larry Steven Foster	2002-0856.02	8845
21972	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 082-1		2859		
LEXINGTO	N, KY 40550-0999		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ω				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,139	FOSTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dixomara Vargas	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·_ ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice un						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is	/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to	, -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attach						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thereious	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/26/04</u> .	SB/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: #73, #94 and #96. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "#46" and "#47" have both been used to designate retraction plate.

 Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Art Unit: 2859

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-22, 24-27 and 29-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Sameshima et al. (US 6,453,135 B1).

With respect to claim 1, Sameshima discloses an image forming apparatus (Figures 1-2;

#A), comprising: a plurality of rollers, disposed with generally parallel axes (#1, #2 and #4); a

retraction plate movable between engaged and retracted positions (#5 in #41); and a plurality of

rotational couplings moved by said retraction plate (#12, #13, #14 and #15), each said rotational

coupling operative to transmit a rotary force to one of said rollers when said retraction plate is in

said engaged position (Figure 2), whereby said couplings move laterally in an axial direction of

said rollers as said retraction plate moves between said engaged and retracted positions (Figures

12, 13 and 15).

5. With respect to claims 2 and 25, Sameshima discloses said rollers comprise at least one

photoconductive member (#1a-#1d).

6. With respect to claims 3 and 24, Sameshima discloses said rollers comprise at least one

developer member (#4a-#4d).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,139

Art Unit: 2859

7. With respect to claims 4 and 15, Sameshima discloses said rollers comprise at least one removable cartridge including both a developer member and a photoconductive member (cartridge #7a-#7d having PC drums #1 and developer #4).

Page 4

- 8. With respect to claim 5, Sameshima discloses said rollers comprise at least one pair of removable cartridges (Figure 2 showing the cartridge 7b being removed), one said cartridge including a developer member (cartridge 7b includes developer #4b) and the other said cartridge (#7a) including a photoconductive member (#1a).
- 9. With respect to claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 26, 27 and 29, Sameshima discloses said retraction plate moves between said engaged and retracted positions in response to an applied force wherein said applied force is generated by a user opening a portion of said image forming apparatus (Column 4, lines 41-65; Figures 2 and 12-15).
- 10. With respect to claims 9, 10, 31, 32, 35 and 36, Sameshima discloses said retraction plate pivots about a pivoting axis to move between said engaged and retracted positions wherein said pivoting axis is disposed along one edge of said retraction plate (Figures 2 and 12-15).
- 11. With respect to claim 11, Sameshima discloses said retraction plate moves laterally between said engaged and retracted positions, in the axial direction of said rollers (Figures 2 and 12-15).
- 12. With respect to claims 12, 16 and 30, see rejection of claims 1 and 9-11 above.
- 13. With respect to claim 17, Sameshima discloses said retraction plate is disposed in a generally vertical orientation (Figures 2 and 12-15).
- 14. With respect to claim 18, Sameshima discloses said articulating member (Figures 9-11, #58 and #60) includes at least one pin (66a).

Art Unit: 2859

15. With respect to claim 19, Sameshima discloses a fixed bracket (#44, #45 and #46), and wherein said articulating member is constrained to motion in said first lateral direction by engaging said at least one pin in a slot in said fixed bracket, said slot oriented along said first lateral direction (Figures 10 and 11).

- 16. With respect to claims 20, 21 and 33, Sameshima discloses said retraction plate is translated in said second lateral direction by engaging said at least one pin with a cam surface attached to said retraction plate, said cam surface orientated at a non-zero, acute angle with respect to said first lateral direction generally less than 45-degree angle with respect to said first lateral direction (Column 5, lines 17-67).
- 17. With respect to claim 22, Sameshima discloses a retraction plate bracket affixed to said retraction plate, and wherein said cam surface is an inner surface of a slot formed in said retraction plate bracket (Column 5, lines 17-67).
- 18. With respect to claim 34, see rejection of claims 1-5 above.
- 19. With respect to claims 37-40, see rejection of claims 18-22 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2859

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

22. Claims 6 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sameshima et al. (US 6,453,135 B1) in view of Merrifield et al. (US 6,246,841 B1).

With respect to claims 6 and 23, Sameshima discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 4 except for the rotational couplings being Oldham couplers. However, Merrifield discloses the Oldham couplers (Column 6, lines 43-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Merrifield's Oldham couplers with Sameshima's image forming apparatus for the purpose of rotatably driving the developer roll as shown by Merrifield (Column 6, lines 43-46).

23. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sameshima et al. (US 6,453,135 B1) in view of Morita et al. (US 5,262,824).

Sameshima discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 4 except for having said rotary force converted to a lateral force by a rack and pinion gear system. However, Morita discloses rack and pinion gear system (Figure 3, #51 and P). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use

Art Unit: 2859

Morita's rack and pinion gear system with Sameshima's image forming apparatus for the purpose of changing the position of the cartridge to replace it or remove it as shown by Morita (Column 18, lines 33-68).

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited discloses imaging systems with means for opening the cover.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 July 8, 2005 Diego/Gutierrez 7

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800